

The Cool ISM in S0 Galaxies. I. A Survey of Molecular Gas

Gary A. Welch

Saint Mary's University

Halifax, Nova Scotia

`gwelch@orion.stmarys.ca`

and

Leslie J. Sage

University of Maryland

College Park, Maryland

`lsage@astro.umd.edu`

Received ; accepted

ABSTRACT

Lenticular galaxies remain remarkably mysterious as a class. Observations to date have not led to any broad consensus about their origins, properties and evolution, though they are often thought to have formed in one big burst of star formation early in the history of the Universe, and to have evolved relatively passively since then. In that picture, current theory predicts that stellar evolution returns substantial quantities of gas to the interstellar medium; most is ejected from the galaxy, but significant amounts of cool gas might be retained. Past searches for that material, though, have provided unclear results. We present results from a survey of molecular gas in a volume-limited sample of field S0 galaxies, selected from the Nearby Galaxies Catalog. CO emission is detected from 78 percent of the sample galaxies. We find that the molecular gas is almost always located inside the central few kiloparsecs of a lenticular galaxy, meaning that in general it is more centrally concentrated than in spirals. We combine our data with HI observations from the literature to determine the total masses of cool and cold gas. Curiously, we find that, across a wide range of luminosity, the most gas rich galaxies have ~ 10 percent of the total amount of gas ever returned by their stars. That result is difficult to understand within the context of either monolithic or hierarchical models of evolution of the interstellar medium.

Subject headings: galaxies: elliptical and lenticular, cD - galaxies: evolution - galaxies: ISM

1. Introduction

In broad terms, there are two very different ways in which galaxies could have formed. The first, which we shall refer to as the monolithic formation idea, is that a large cloud of primordial gas collapsed rapidly to produce either an elliptical or lenticular galaxy, or perhaps the spheroidal bulge of a spiral galaxy (Larson 1974; Arimoto & Yoshii 1987; Bower et al. 1992). The main advantage of monolithic formation is that it seems consistent with the observational facts that most such systems have relatively little angular momentum and that they contain mostly old stars.

The second way galaxies might have formed is through the hierarchical accumulation of smaller clumps of gas and stars into larger structures that became the galaxies we see today (White & Rees 1978; White & Frenk 1991; Kauffmann et al. 1993; Baugh et al. 1996). Within that view, there would be a continuum of mergers between clumps of varying masses and angular momenta. Some mergers would lead to bursts of massive star formation, while others would not. Galaxy formation would be drawn out over a long period, perhaps continuing to the present epoch, where we still see mergers and violent interactions between galaxies, along with the possible formation of tidal dwarfs. The red colours of today’s E and S0 galaxies would be explained if most of the stars were produced in the original merger participants.

Determining the observational properties of the different classes of galaxies offers a way to discriminate between the possibilities. Until the IRAS database was available, the conventional wisdom was that elliptical and lenticular galaxies had little or no gaseous interstellar medium – this was reinforced by the general paucity of HI emission. For example, Wardle & Knapp (1986) and Bregman et al. (1992) find that most S0s have $M(HI)/L_B \lesssim 0.1$ in solar units, but with large scatter, which is 3-6 times lower than spirals (Roberts 1975). The surveys of atomic gas in early-type galaxies would of course miss the

molecular content, which we now know dominates the ISM near the centers of spirals. The IRAS results motivated a few surveys of CO emission (Sage & Wrobel 1989; Thronson et al. 1989; Wiklind & Henkel 1989, hereafter WH; Lees et al. 1991; Wiklind et al. 1995). While the CO surveys were much less complete in terms of sample size than those for HI, the general conclusion was that in the IRAS-selected galaxies the interstellar medium – at least near the centers – was not too different from that in normal, isolated spirals. Comparison of the molecular and far-infrared properties showed that the process of star formation in lenticulars is broadly similar to that in field spirals.

It seems unlikely, however, that the gaseous contents of the galaxies selected using far-infrared criteria are representative of the bulk of lenticulars, because most normal S0s have little or no far-infrared emission. Taniguchi et al. (1994) looked at 10 galaxies lacking optical emission lines, to investigate a more typical sample. Their detection of six sources led them to suggest that most normal S0s contain significant amounts of cool, dense gas, which for unexplained reasons is not producing massive new stars.

Although S0s are dominated by $\sim 10^{10}$ year old stars, their low gas content cannot merely be due to early, very efficient astration, where the gas is simply locked into stars or blown out by winds from massive stars and supernovae produced during a huge initial starburst. In the simplest (closed box) view of galaxy evolution, one expects to find gas that has subsequently been returned to the ISM by evolving low-mass stars, and the latter contribution should be very large. In general, the total mass of returned gas M_r scales with the present blue luminosity as $M_r = KL_B$, with both quantities in solar units. Faber & Gallagher (1976, hereafter FG) adopt a reasonable return rate for a 10 Gyr old population, that is, the product of an assumed planetary nebula formation rate and mass loss by stars near $1 M_\odot$. Assuming the rate to be constant over the galaxy lifetime implies that recycled gas alone should presently give $K \sim 0.1$. More complex models of gas return within the

monolithic galaxy evolution scenario (e.g. Ciotti et al. 1991; Kennicutt et al. 1994; Brighenti & Mathews 1997) predict values more than an order of magnitude larger, owing to the early contributions of massive stars during and after the spike of initial star formation.

In summary, there is very little understanding of how much gas is present in field S0s, of its properties, and of how these properties might have arisen. To improve this situation, and we hope thereby our understanding of what S0 galaxies are, has been the primary motivation for this study.

2. The Sample

The initial sample comprises all entries in the Nearby Galaxies Catalog (Tully 1988) having type -3 to 0 inclusive, declination $\geq -10^\circ$, and distance < 20 Mpc. We have omitted known peculiar galaxies, cases of probable interaction (based on inspection of the field on POSS prints), members of the Virgo cluster, and objects assigned class Sa in the Revised Shapley Ames Catalog (Sandage 1986, RSAC) or the Carnegie Atlas (Sandage 1994). The final sample of 27 galaxies is listed in Table 1. All but six of our sample are contained in the RSAC. Most (24/27) are classified as either S0, S0/Sa, or as their barred counterparts.

Our final sample is free of the FIR bias inherent in earlier S0 surveys, but still contains the biases of the Nearby Galaxies Catalog. The most obvious of these are that optically small galaxies (diameter $< 1.5' - 2'$ on the POSS) are excluded, and HI-poor systems are under-represented. Nonetheless, we believe that Table 1 constitutes the best sample now available for probing the cool ISM in normal S0 galaxies within low-density environments. We report here on a single-antenna survey of CO in these galaxies; a complementary HI study is underway.

In addition to its lack of FIR bias, the present work departs in two important ways

from published ones, which have been essentially flux-limited, and have usually looked only at the center of the galaxy (see, however, Tacconi et al. 1991). First, we define a target sensitivity for each galaxy using the Faber-Gallagher relation for an assumed age of 10 Gyr to compute an expected ISM mass, M_e . The goal is to provide a 5-sigma detection, or upper limit, of $0.02M_e$ per pointing. Since M_e scales with the total blue luminosity, long integrations are needed for faint galaxies. Secondly, we observe additional points (along the major axis) in the larger galaxies, even if no emission is detected at the center, as there are several early-type galaxies with molecular gas outside their centers (Tacconi et al. 1991; Sage & Galletta 1994; Gerin & Casoli 1994).

3. Observations and Data Reduction

Spectra of the J=2-1 and J=1-0 transitions of CO in 20 galaxies were obtained in October 1998 with the IRAM 30 meter telescope. The NRAO 12 meter telescope ¹ was used in November 1998, January 2001, and in February and April 2002 to observe the remainder of the sample in the J=1-0 transition, and also the galaxies having the largest angular sizes. The IRAM telescope main beam is assumed to have FWHM = 20.9'' and 10.4'' in the two transitions, whereas we take FWHM = 55'' for the 12 meter telescope at CO (J=1-0). Sufficiently sensitive CO observations have been published for NGC 404, 7013, and 7077 (WH; Sage & Wrobel 1989; Sage 1990; Sage et al. 1992; Taniguchi et al. 1994; Young et al. 1995), so we have not observed these galaxies.

The IRAM observations employed beam switching in azimuth with a throw of 240'' at 1 Hz. Dual polarization measurements were obtained simultaneously in both transitions,

¹The National Radio Astronomy Observatory is a facility of the National Science Foundation operated under cooperative agreement by Associated Universities, Inc.

and directed both to filterbanks and autocorrelator backends. The filterbanks provided spectral windows 1332 km s^{-1} and 666 km s^{-1} wide for J=1-0 and J=2-1, respectively, with resolutions of 2.60 km s^{-1} and 1.30 km s^{-1} wide, respectively. Corresponding values for the autocorrelators were 1326 km s^{-1} and 666 km s^{-1} , and 3.25 km s^{-1} and 1.63 km s^{-1} , respectively. Telescope pointing and focus were checked about every 2 hours using a bright quasar or the planet Mars. Over the observing session, median corrections to the pointing model in azimuth and altitude were $0.9''$ and $1.4''$, respectively. System temperatures were typically 300-400 K for J=1-0 and 600-700 K for J=2-1.

An initial 30m spectrum was obtained at the center of the target. Additional positions along the major axis were observed in 10 arcsecond steps, unless either no emission was seen or it appeared that the J=2-1 transition was at least as strong as J=1-0. Because previous observers have generally reported $^2 I_{21}/I_{10} < 1$ (see below), the latter case was assumed to result from beam filling effects produced by a source smaller than the J=2-1 beam.

The large beam of the 12m telescope is useful in searching for extended gas in larger galaxies. Beam switching in azimuth was also employed at that telescope, using a throw of $180''$. Filterbanks collected data in both polarizations, providing windows 1330 km s^{-1} wide and individual channel widths of 5.2 km s^{-1} . System temperatures were usually 400-500 K. Pointing and focus checks were made at roughly 2-hour intervals, using Mars, Saturn, Jupiter, and several bright quasars. Galaxies were observed on center and at 55 arcsecond steps along the major axis. The observations typically encompass $\sim 0.5D_{25}$ and $\sim 0.1D_{25}$ along the major axis, for the 12m and 30m telescopes, respectively, making the present survey unique for its extended spatial coverage.

²Following custom, I_{21} and I_{10} represent the integrated intensities (area under the line) of the two CO transitions

The CLASS software package was used for data reduction. Occasional bad channels were first removed in the individual raw scans, which represented 4 and 6 minute integrations for the 30m and 12m telescopes, respectively. All scans from a given pointing were then summed and Hanning smoothed to typical resolutions of 13.0 and 20.8 km s⁻¹ for the 30m and 12m telescopes, respectively. Other resolutions are noted in Tables 2 and 3.

Line windows were chosen as discussed below or in footnotes to Tables 2 and 3, and linear baselines fitted to the remainder of the spectrum. Second order baselines were subtracted in a few cases, as noted in the tables. Data were obtained on the main beam temperature scale from the 30m telescope, and on the T_R^* scale at Kitt Peak. The latter was transformed to T_{mb} using a corrected main beam efficiency of $\eta_m^* = 0.82$.

When emission was not clearly detected, we defined spectral-line windows for upper limit estimates using published kinematics of atomic gas; otherwise, the optical rotation curve was employed. In only two cases, NGC 4143 and NGC 4346, were we unable to find any guiding observations; for these galaxies we used windows 400 km s⁻¹ wide, centered on the optical velocity, as that represents the linewidth of a ‘typical’ galaxy in our sample.

4. Results

4.1. CO In S0 Galaxies

The final summed spectrum at each center pointing is presented in Figure 1. Integrated intensities on the T_{mb} scale from 30m and 12m observations appear in Tables 2 and 3, respectively. Standard deviations, which serve as 1-sigma upper limits for non-detections, are calculated following the prescription of Sage (1990). The rms noise in the binned spectrum, required for that procedure, is given in the table. Observed positions are identified by specifying the offsets in arcseconds from the coordinates given in Table 1.

Excluding objects already known to contain CO (NGC 404, 7013, 7077), we have detected 75 percent (18/24) of our sample with a formal confidence of 3σ or higher, 42 percent (10/24) with 5σ or higher. The detection rate for the entire sample is 78 percent. Only the J=2-1 transition was detected in NGC 2787, 3115, 3384, and 3990; although formally significant at 3.6σ and 4.8σ , respectively, the lines in NGC 3115 and NGC 3990 are complex and not convincing. Emission (at 4.9σ) from NGC 4026 was detected only by the 12m telescope at offset (2, -55). In the following summary, we include these galaxies among our detections; better data are clearly needed for them.

Within our admittedly small sample of 27 objects, types S0 and S0/a are detected with similar frequency (11/15 and 6/8, respectively). Somewhat surprisingly, S0s with optical dust features and those without are also detected at about the same rate: 7/10 galaxies classified S0₁ or S0_{1/2} (or as their barred counterparts) are detected, and 4/6 of those classified as S0₂, S0_{2/3} or S0₃. Finally, SB0 galaxies are somewhat less likely to be detected (2/4) than S0 galaxies (8/10), though high inclinations might obscure bars in several of the latter. In summary, except for a possible anti correlation with the presence of a bar, our sample does not show a relation between the gross optical properties of S0 galaxies and their detectability in CO emission.

The present observations can be used to estimate typical source sizes. The IRAM 30m data show that $I_{21}/I_{10} > 1$ in 7 galaxies where only the centre pointing was observed. Even when the gas is quiescent, large intensity ratios can result when the source size is comparable to or smaller than the J=2-1 beam. Assuming that to be the case, we derive a median linear size of 0.7 kpc for those 7 galaxies. An additional 7 galaxies have multiple-pointing 30m data showing strong radial intensity declines which indicate that we have seen most of the total CO emission. (This conclusion is less clear for NGC 4460; nonetheless, we include this galaxy in the discussion). We estimate the source size in those

cases by summing the maximum separation between pointings with detections and adding the FWHM of the appropriate telescope beam, finding a median of 2.8 kpc, or $0.32D_{25}$. Therefore, our work reinforces the view (e.g. Tacconi et al. 1991) that most of the molecular gas in S0 galaxies is near their centres.

4.2. Comparison of 2-1 and 1-0 emission

Line ratios (i.e. ratios of integrated intensities in two transitions) are used to investigate the physical state of molecular gas, though the interpretation is complicated because of the different ways the telescope beams couple to the source, and by the inevitable mixing of emission from dense cloud cores and more diffuse surrounding molecular gas. The few published multi-transition CO studies of early-type galaxies (e.g. Wiklind & Henkel 1990; Sage 1993; Li et al. 1993; Wiklind et al. 1997) report $0.5 \lesssim I_{21}/I_{10} \lesssim 1$, which is assumed to indicate the gas is cool ($T_{ex} \sim 10\text{K}$), optically thick and generally quiescent.

Without maps of our sources we cannot correct for different beam coupling. Instead, we compare in Figure 2 the 30m telescope results with predictions which assume the same radiation temperature in both transitions, and that the source is either a point (top line) or that it uniformly fills both beams. The factor of 4 vertical difference between the lines is therefore the ratio of beam areas. The results shown in the figure are broadly consistent with previous studies.

4.3. Molecular masses from the CO data

Total H_2 masses for all detected galaxies, or their upper limits, are presented in Table 4. Whenever possible we use the relation given by Welch et al. (1996) with a conversion constant of $2.3 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2} (\text{K km s}^{-1})^{-1}$. Uncertainties and upper limits are obtained by

adding in quadrature the appropriate uncertainties from individual pointings. Molecular masses for NGC 2787, 3115, 3384, 3990 are estimated from the J=2-1 line intensities, assuming $I_{21}/I_{10}=0.5$ because, except perhaps for NGC 2787, no J=1-0 emission is seen.

We transform to our assumptions the published mass estimates for 6 galaxies observed by others and present them in Table 5. Values obtained by ourselves from the 30m and 12m telescopes show pleasing consistency, which is generally present throughout the results contained in Tables 2-4. Agreement with other studies is usually satisfactory, though the values reported by the NRAO 12m and Nobeyama 45m telescopes for NGC 7457 are the inverse of what one might expect from comparing the two beam sizes. Another noteworthy disparity is the factor of 2 difference between 30m observations towards the centre of NGC 5866. Most of the disagreement comes from the integrated intensities, which we believe are both on the T_{mb} scale but which differ by a factor of 1.6. Inspection of Table 2 shows that I_{10} changes by large amounts between positions separated by only $\sim 0.5 \times \text{FWHM}$ or $\sim 10''$ near the centre of the galaxy, leading us to suspect that telescope pointing is the culprit, as previously suggested by Sage & Isbell (1991) for a different set of galaxies.

In Table 6 and Figure 3 we present estimates of the total cool gas content of galaxies in our sample, obtained by combining molecular and atomic hydrogen masses from Table 4 and from the literature. The table also shows the predicted mass of gas returned by stars according to the analytical expression of Ciotti et al. (1991), i.e. the case $K = 1.2$ as discussed below. Together, Table 6 and Figure 3 encapsulate the major results of our study. We now turn to the question of whether they support current ideas of the nature of lenticular galaxies.

5. Origin and fate of the ISM in lenticular galaxies

5.1. Paradigms for S0 galaxy formation

It has generally been thought that S0 galaxies formed most of their stars more or less monolithically 10 Gyr or more ago, because of their typically red colours and late-type stellar spectra (e.g. Bothun & Gregg 1990; Schweizer & Seitzer 1992; Munn 1992). That view has been reinforced by the paucity of atomic hydrogen (Wardle & Knapp 1986), relatively low levels of far-infrared emission (Bally & Thronson 1989), and by the almost complete absence of optical dust lanes (Ebner et al. 1988; Veron-Cetty & Veron 1988) and HII regions (Kim 1989; Buson et al. 1993; Pogge & Eskridge 1993) associated with star formation in spiral galaxies. In the monolithic paradigm, a large cloud of primordial gas collapsed fairly rapidly to produce the galaxy, which has evolved passively since then. More rapid collapses produced elliptical galaxies, in which disks are insignificant; less rapid collapses led to gas-rich spirals (Rocca-Volmerange et al. 1988; Bruzual & Charlott 1993). But the simple monolithic picture has come under increasing pressure over the last decade, because the predicted bright, massive protogalaxies that would be the precursors of today’s ellipticals have not been observed at any redshift or spectral band in the necessary numbers (Madau et al. 1996; Kauffmann & Charlot 1998), although the nature of the unidentified galaxies seen through their sub-millimeter emission remains unclear (Barger et al. 1999; Lilly et al. 1999). Those deficiencies have stimulated investigations of the hierarchical formation idea, whereby galaxies are assembled from smaller pieces through a series of mergers (White & Rees 1978; White & Frenk 1991; Kauffmann et al. 1993; Baugh et al. 1996).

We initially hoped that our data would reveal evidence to prefer one path over the other. But as we show below, the most startling observational result remains difficult to understand within either picture.

Our most significant result is contained in Figure 3, which displays the total mass of atomic and molecular gas versus blue luminosity. The wide range in gas content among galaxies of similar luminosity is immediately evident. The most striking aspect, though, is the upper mass cutoff, which extends over the entire luminosity range spanned by our data, a factor of ~ 100 in luminosity and presumably in total mass. Within that range, no galaxy contains more than about 10 percent of the gas predicted by recent estimates of stellar mass return (see below), shown as a solid line in the figure. Stated differently, even the most gas-rich S0s have lost 90 percent or more of all the gas ever returned by their stars.

We find ourselves unable to use the data to distinguish clearly between the two evolutionary paths. As we outline below, with a judicious tuning of parameters it is possible to explain aspects of our results within either evolutionary scenario, but in both cases the tuning is contrived and the correspondence with the data incomplete. Perhaps the difficulty arises from an assumption underlying our work - that all S0 galaxies have evolved along very similar paths. Van den Bergh (1990,1994) suggests that lenticulars comprise at least two distinct kinds of objects, one of these being the true S0s, i.e. galaxies forming the transition between ellipticals and spirals. Although it is not yet possible to identify the pedigree of an individual galaxy, van den Bergh suggests that true S0s are hiding among brighter, rounder galaxies, and that they tend to have Hogg et al. (1993) sub-type I, I/S, or S. We have tried to test that suggestion by searching for some trend in optical properties among the galaxies in our sample. We have asked, for example, if the upper cutoff might be delineated by true S0s, but have not found any evidence to support the idea. While a wide range of gas content is certainly consistent with the view of S0s as a heterogeneous collection, the upper cutoff probably is not.

In the following sections we attempt to lay out the issues for the two galaxy formation paradigms, without providing a coherent explanation for our results.

5.2. Monolithic model predictions

Faber and Gallagher realized that any isolated, passively evolving galaxy which converted almost all of its gas into stars early in its existence would over a Hubble time build up an embarrassingly massive ISM, unless most of the returned gas could be disposed of. The simple picture of stellar mass return used by FG has been extensively revised, most recently by Ciotti et al. (1991), and Brighenti & Mathews (1997), without qualitatively altering the original conclusion. We remind the reader of the model predictions that the mass of returned gas is given by $M_r = KL_B$, with all the relevant and variable physics being subsumed into the factor K .

The study by FG includes only gas return from stars with mass $\sim 1M_\odot$. When updated with more recent planetary nebula formation rates (Peimbert 1993), it predicts that $K = 0.07$, shown by a dashed line in Figure 3. The assumption underlying the FG approach is that gas returned to the ISM during the first few Gyr of the galaxy’s existence was blown into intergalactic space by type II supernovae.

More complex descriptions of stellar gas return, which include contributions from a range of masses described by Salpeter or Miller-Scalo initial mass functions, predict larger values of K , i.e. $K \sim 1$. Those descriptions have been incorporated in models of ISM evolution which attempt to explain the X-ray emission from plasma within the deep potential wells of optically luminous E and S0 galaxies. The assumed masses are therefore considerably larger than appropriate for most of the galaxies in our sample. With that caveat in mind, the studies most relevant for interpreting our data are Brighenti & Mathews’s (1997) work on flattened ellipticals, and D’Ercole & Ciotti’s (1998) investigation of lenticular galaxies.

Brighenti & Mathews (1997) and D’Ercole & Ciotti (1998) take the initial state of the ISM to be a hot, outflowing wind - the result of heating by type II supernovae in the initial starburst. If all gas returned after the first $\frac{1}{2}$ Gyr is retained, the analytic approximation of

Ciotti et al. (1991) yields $K = 1.2$ for galaxies assumed to be 10 Gyr old today, implying the presence of a massive ISM. The amount of gas actually retained, however, is very sensitive to the depth and shape of the gravitational potential, and also to the assumed rate of energy input from type Ia supernovae. In all cases, though, the results are qualitatively consistent with our observations: most of the gas ever returned to the ISM is lost, much of it in the first 1 Gyr of the galaxy’s life.

Models of more massive galaxies show the development of central cooling flows, also termed decoupled inflows, which accumulate $10^{9-10}M_{\odot}$ of cool gas. No attempt has yet been made to explore the fate of the cooling gas. The amount of cool gas expected at the present time depends on when the initial outflow reverses, i.e. on the assumed SN Ia rate for a given gravitational potential. Uncertainty in the supernova rate is a major impediment to understanding what happens to the gas, but using rates consistent with recent work (e.g. Turatto et al. 1994; Arimoto et al. 1997; Matsumoto et al. 1997) the models of D’Ercole & Ciotti predict that the most massive galaxies in the present sample, are likely to have developed inflows after several Gyr.

Models are not yet available of flattened galaxies with $M_{tot} \sim 3 \times 10^{10}M_{\odot}$, which is more typical of our sample, but the trends make it appear that, due to their low masses, few of our galaxies can have developed inflows, so they should be essentially free of cool gas. We therefore anticipate that models with galaxy masses more appropriate to our sample will predict that effectively no gas will be retained in the smaller galaxies. What is particularly striking, in the light of that expectation, is that we see molecular gas even in some of the lowest-mass galaxies in our sample. Figure 3 reveals that those galaxies have gas deficiencies equal to (and in some cases much less than) the deficiencies of the most massive galaxies we have observed - objects roughly 100 times more massive. Varying the energy equilibrium of the gas (e.g. the SN Ia rate) can explain the range of gas mass at any

particular blue luminosity. But it seems excessively contrived to explain the upper cutoff by such parameter adjustments.

5.3. Predictions of Hierarchical models

The optical colours and spectra of S0 disks are consistent with them being a few Gyr younger than the accompanying bulges (Caldwell 1983; Bothun & Gregg 1990; Fisher et al. 1996), although most observations refer to S0s in clusters. Relatively young disks can be readily explained by one of the central pillars of the hierarchical paradigm: spheroids formed in major mergers can later acquire disk components from surrounding gas reservoirs (Kauffmann et al. 1993; Steinmetz & Navarro 2002). While the evolution of the morphology and gas-content of such disks has not been clarified by current hierarchical studies, the idea of gas infall over extended periods seems to offer no ready explanation for the upper cutoff in Figure 3.

The fundamental reason why hierarchical models cannot yet address our results is that the fate of the gas in a *single* merger cannot be predicted with confidence. Interactions between galaxies bring in new gas. They also dispose of it in star formation, by blasting it into intergalactic space through type II supernovae, or by tidal stripping. The outcome therefore depends on many parameters, including the structure of the colliding galaxies, the encounter geometry, the prescription for star formation, and the effectiveness of stellar feedback (e.g. Barnes & Hernquist 1991; Mihos et al. 1992; Howard et al. 1993; Bekki & Noguchi 1994; Hernquist & Mihos 1995; Mihos & Hernquist 1996; Barnes & Hernquist 1996; Bekki 1998a,b,c; Roukema et al. 2001; Barnes 2002). It is again conceivable that judicious parameter tuning could explain the observed range of gas deficiency. We doubt the same is true for the upper cutoff.

6. Summary

We report a survey of molecular gas in a sample of 27 normal, field S0 galaxies within 20 Mpc. The present survey differs from earlier ones in that it is volume-limited rather than IRAS flux-limited, looks for gas outside the centres of the galaxies, and has sensitivity limits based on fundamental considerations of stellar evolution - that aging stars return gas to the interstellar medium. Our results provide a unique basis for understanding the properties, origin, and fate of dense gas in normal S0 galaxies residing outside of rich clusters.

We confirm earlier studies of different samples, finding that molecular gas is common in S0 galaxies; 78 percent of our sample is detected in either the J=1-0 or J=2-1 transition of CO. The gas is centrally located in regions with sizes up to 1-3 kpc; we do not find significant amounts of gas at larger distances.

We have combined observations with published HI data to estimate the total mass of cold gas in each galaxy. The results of that exercise are presented in Figure 3, which contains two important results: First, a wide range of gas content is present at a fixed optical luminosity. Second, an upper cutoff in gas content is present across the entire luminosity range spanned by our sample: $-17 \gtrsim M_B \gtrsim -21$. Those findings, but especially the second, present a significant challenge for our ideas of how S0 galaxies have evolved.

If S0s formed during a single monolithic collapse early in the history of the Universe, and have subsequently evolved as *closed systems*, then all now contain much less gas than expected from stellar mass loss; Figure 3 indicates that $10^{-4} \lesssim M_{obs}/M_{ret} \lesssim 10^{-1}$. That fact alone, though, does not necessarily present a serious problem for models of ISM evolution based on the monolithic formation paradigm. The expected amount of cool gas is extremely sensitive to poorly known quantities such as the assumed rate of type Ia supernovae, and the shape and depth of the gravitational potential well, so that a wide range of gas content can be explained. It is very hard to understand, however, how those poorly known factors,

and perhaps others, could conspire to produce the upper cutoff of gas content so clearly evident in Figure 3..

Within the paradigm of hierarchical galaxy formation, S0 bulges may have formed through major mergers, followed by the slow accretion of surrounding gas to form their disks. Although that idea can explain optical observations which imply relatively young ages for S0 disks, it might be difficult to understand how the upper cutoff would arise from an extended period of gas accretion.

In summary, our study poses broad and significant questions for the two popular galaxy formation paradigms, and it is not yet clear which, if either, will be more successful at answering them. It will certainly be helpful to substantially deepen our understanding of the properties of the cold gas, and we are embarking on projects for this purpose.

We are grateful to Dave Hogg and Luca Ciotti for their perceptive comments on early versions of this paper, and to Bill Mathews for insights into ISM evolution in galaxies. Comments from the referee helped us to improve our presentation. This work was supported by a Canadian Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council research grant to GAW.

REFERENCES

- Appleton, P. N. & Davies, R. D. 1982, MNRAS, 201, 1073.
- Arimoto, N., Matsushita, K., Ishimaru, Y., Ohashi, T. & Renzini, A. 1997, ApJ, 477, 128.
- Arimoto, N. & Yoshii, Y. 1987, A&A, 173, 23.
- Bally, J. & Thronson, H. A. 1989, AJ, 97, 69.
- Barger, A. J., Cowie, L. L., Smail, I., Ivison, R. J., Blain, A. W., and Kneib, J.-P. 1999, AJ, 117, 2656.
- Barnes, J. E. 2002, MNRAS, 333, 481.
- Barnes, J. E. & Hernquist, L. 1996, ApJ, 471, 115.
- Barnes, J. E. & Hernquist, L. 1991, ApJ, 370, L65.
- Baugh, C. M., Cole, S. & Frenk, C. S. 1996, MNRAS, 283, 1361.
- Bieging, J. H. & Biermann, P. 1977, A&A, 60, 361.
- Bekki, K. & Noguchi, M. 1994, A&A, 290, 7.
- Bekki, K. 1998, A&A, 334, 814.
- Bekki, K. 1998, ApJ, 496, 713.
- Bekki, K. 1998, ApJ, 502, L133.
- Biermann, P., Clarke, J. N. & Fricke, K. J. 1979, A&A, 75, 7.
- Bothun, G. D. & Gregg, M. D. 1990, ApJ, 350, 73.
- Bottinelli, L., Gouguenheim, L. & Heidmann, J. 1973, A&A, 22, 281.

- Bower, R. G., Lucey, J. R., & Ellis, R. S. 1992, MNRAS, 254, 601.
- Bregman, J.N., Hogg, D. E. & Roberts, M. S. 1992, ApJ, 387, 484.
- Brighenti, F. & Mathews, W. G. 1997, ApJ, 490, 592.
- Bruzual A, G., & Charlot, S. 1993, ApJ, 405, 538.
- Burstein, D., Krumm, N. & Salpeter, E. E. 1987, AJ, 94, 883.
- Buson, L. M., Sadler, E. M., Zeilinger, W. W., Bertin, G., Bertola, F., Danziger, J.,
Dejonghe, H., Saglia, R. P., & de Zeeuw, P. T. 1993, A&A, 280, 409.
- Caldwell, N. 1983, ApJ, 268, 90.
- Capaccioli, M., Cappellaro, E., Held, E. V. & Vietri, M. 1993, A&A, 274, 69.
- Chamaraux, P., Balkowski, C. & Fontanelli, P. 1987, A&AS, 69, 263.
- Ciotti, L., D’Ercole, A., Pellegrini, S. & Renzini, A. 1991, ApJ, 376, 380.
- D’Ercole, A. & Ciotti, L. 1998, ApJ, 494, 535.
- Dressler, A. & Sandage, A. 1983, ApJ, 265, 664.
- Ebner, K., Davis, M. & Djorgovski, S. 1988, AJ, 95, 422.
- Faber, S. & Gallagher, J.S. 1976, ApJ, 204, 365.
- Fisher, D., Franx, M. & Illingworth, G. 1996, ApJ, 459, 110.
- Fisher, D. 1997, AJ, 113, 950.
- Gerin, M. & Casoli, F. 1994, A&A, 290, 49.
- Giovanardi, C., Krumm, N, & Salpeter, E. E. 1983, AJ, 88, 1719.

- Hernquist, L. & Mihos, J. C. 1995, ApJ, 448, 41.
- Hogg, D. E., Roberts, M. S. & Sandage, A. 1993, AJ, 106, 907.
- Howard, S., Keel, W. C., Byrd, G. & Burkey, K. 1993, ApJ, 417, 502.
- Huchtmeier, W. K. 1982, A&A, 110, 121.
- Kauffmann, G., White, S. D. M. & Guiderdoni, B. 1993, MNRAS, 264, 201.
- Kaufmann, G. & Charlot, S. 1998, MNRAS, 297, L23.
- Kennicutt, R. C. Jr., Tamblyn, P., Congdon, C. W. 1994, ApJ, 435, 22.
- Kent, S. M. 1987, AJ, 93, 1062.
- Kim, D.-W. 1989, ApJ, 346, 653.
- Knapp, G. R., Kerr, F. G. & Henderson, A. P. 1979, ApJ, 234, 448.
- Knapp, G. R. & Gunn, J. E. 1982, AJ, 87, 1634.
- Knapp, G. R., van Driel, W., Schwarz, U. J., van Woerden, H. & Gallagher, J. S. III 1984, A&A, 133, 127.
- Larson, R. B. 1974, MNRAS, 173, 671.
- Lees, J., Knapp, G. R., Rupen, M. P. & Phillips, T. G. 1991, ApJ, 379, 177.
- Lewis, B. M. 1987, ApJS, 63, 515.
- Li, J.G., Seaquist, E.R. & Sage, L.J. 1993, ApJ, 411, L71.
- Lilly, S. J., Eales, S. A., Gear, W. K. P., Hammer, F., LeFevre, O., Crampton, D., Bond, J. R., and Dunn, L. 1999, ApJ, 518, 641.

- Madau, P., Ferguson, H. C., Dickinson, M. E., Giavalisco, M., Steidel, C. C., & Fruchter, A. 1996, MNRAS, 283, 1388.
- Matsumoto, H., Koyama, K., Awaki, H., Tsuru, T., Loewenstein, M. & Matsushita, K. 1997 ApJ, 482, 133.
- Mihos, J. C., Richstone, D. O., & Bothun, G. D. 1992, ApJ, 333, 481.
- Mihos, J. C. & Hernquist, L. 1996, ApJ, 464, 641.
- Munn, J. A. 1992, ApJ, 399, 444.
- Peimbert, M. 1993, I.A.U. Symp. 155, Planetary Nebulae, R. Weinberger & A. Acker, Dordrecht: Kluwer, 1993, 523.
- Pogge, R. W. & Eskridge, P. B. 1993, AJ, 106, 1405.
- Richter, O.-G., & Huchtmeier, W. K. 1987, A&AS, 68, 427.
- Roberts, M. S. 1975, Stars and Stellar Systems IX, A. R. Sandage, M. Sandage, and J. Kristian, eds, (Chicago: University of Chicago Press), p. 309.
- Roberts, M. S., Hogg, D. E., Bregman, J. N., Forman, W. R. & Jones, C. 1991, ApJS, 75, 751.
- Rocca-Volmerange, B. & Guiderdoni, B. 1988, A&AS, 75, 93.
- Roukema, B. F., Ninin, S., Devriendt, J., Bouchet, F. R., Guiderdoni, B. & Maman, G. A. 2001, A&A, 373, 494.
- Sage, L.J. & Wrobel, J. 1989, ApJ, 344, 204.
- Sage, L.J., 1990, A&A, 239, 125.
- Sage, L.J. & Isbell, D. W. 1991, A&A, 247, 320.

- Sage, L.J., Salzer, J.J., Loose, H. & Henkel, C. 1992, A&A, 265, 19.
- Sage, L.J. 1993, A&A, 239, 125.
- Sage, L. J. & Galletta, G. 1994, AJ, 108, 1633.
- Sancisi, R., van Woerden, H., Davies, R. D.& Hart, L. 1984, MNRAS, 210, 497.
- Sandage, A.R. 1986, Revised Shapley Ames Catalog, (RSAC) (Washington: Carnegie Institution).
- Sandage, A.R. 1994, Carnegie Atlas, (Washington: Carnegie Institution).
- Schweizer, F. & Seitzer, P. 1992, AJ, 104, 1039.
- Shostak, G. S. 1987, A&A, 175, 4.
- Steinmetz, M. & Navarro, J. F. 2002, New Astronomy, 7, 155.
- Tacconi, L. J., Tacconi-Garman, L. E., Thornley, M. & van Woerden, H. 1991, A&A, 252, 541.
- Taniguchi, Y. et al. 1994, AJ, 108, 468.
- Thronson, H. A. Jr., Tacconi, L., Kenney, J., Greenhouse, M. A., Margulis, M., Tacconi-Garman, L. & Young, J. S. 1989, ApJ, 344, 747.
- Tully, R.B. 1988, Nearby Galaxies Catalog, (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press).
- Turatto, M., Cappellaro, E. & Benetti, S. 1994, AJ, 108, 202.
- van den Bergh, S. 1990, ApJ, 348, 57.
- van den Bergh, S. 1994, AJ, 107, 153.
- van der Burg, G. 1985, A&AS, 62, 147.

- van Driel, W. van Woerden, H., Gallagher, J. S. III, & Schwarz, U, J. 1988, A&A, 191, 201.
- Veron-Cetty, M.-P. & Veron, P. 1988, A&A, 204, 28.
- Wardle, M. & Knapp, G. R. 1986, AJ, 91, 23.
- Welch, G. A., Mitchell, G. F., & Yi, S. 1996, ApJ, 470, 781.
- White, S. D. M. & Rees, M. J. 1978, MNRAS, 183, 341.
- White, S. D. M. & Frenk, C. S. 1991, ApJ, 379, 52.
- Wiklind, T. & Henkel, C. 1989, A&A, 225, 1 (WH).
- Wiklind, T., & Henkel, C. 1990, A&A, 227, 394.
- Wiklind, T., Combes, F. & Henkel, C. 1995, A&A, 297, 643.
- Wiklind, T., Combes, F., Henkel, C. & Wyrowski, F. 1997, A&A, 323, 727.
- Young, J. S., Xie, S., Tacconi, L., Knezek, P., Viscuso, P., Tacconi-Garman, L., Scoville, N., Schneider, S., Schloerb, F. P., Lord, S., Lesser, A., Kenney, J., Huang, Y.-L., Devereux, N., Claussen, M., Case, J., Carpenter, J., Berry, M., & Allen, L. 1995, ApJS, 98, 219.

Fig. 1.— Completely reduced spectra obtained by pointing the IRAM 30m (first 5 pages) and NRAO 12m (following 3 pages) telescopes at the positions listed in Table 1 - offsets (0,0), except as indicated for NGC 4026. Heliocentric velocity and main beam temperature in K are plotted. The 30m data are arranged with J=(1-0) spectra in the left column and J=(2-1) in the right column, as indicated at the top of each page. All 12m spectra show the J=(1-0) transition. Details of the reduction path are given in Section 3.

Fig. 2.— CO intensities from the IRAM 30m Telescope at offset (0,0) (Table 2) are compared with predictions from assuming the same radiation temperature in both transitions, and that the sources are points (top line) or that they uniformly fill both beams (bottom line). The factor of 4 vertical offset between the lines is essentially the same as the ratio of beam areas. One-sigma error bars are indicated for the most uncertain data.

Fig. 3.— Cool gas masses versus blue luminosity for the galaxies in our sample. Helium is included and solar units are used for both quantities. Points locate entries from Column 5 of Table 6. They represent masses derived from detections in both CO and HI (bare points), from detections of one phase added to $3\text{-}\sigma$ limits on the other phase (points with dashed lines), from the sum of $3\text{-}\sigma$ limits (down arrows), or from CO detections when no HI observation exists (up arrows). The lower ends of the dashed lines locate masses obtained from the measured phase (almost always CO). The solid line gives the mass of returned gas predicted by the analytic approximation of (Ciotti et al. 1991), and includes everything returned after the first 500 Myr. The dashed line shows the mass returned only by solar mass red giants over the past 10 Gyr (Faber & Gallagher 1976)

Table 1. Properties of Galaxies in the Volume-limited Sample

Name	RA	DEC	v_{hel}	Type	D	B_T^o
	1950		km s ⁻¹		Mpc	
NGC 404	01:06:39.3	35:27:06	-35	S03(0)	2.4	10.92
NGC 936	02:25:04.7	-01:22:42	1340	SB02/3/SBa	10.6	10.98
NGC 1023	02:37:15.9	38:50:55	637	SB01(5)	10.5	10.08
NGC 2787	09:14:49.7	69:24:50	696	SB0/a	13	11.61
NGC 3115	10:02:44.7	-07:28:31	670	S01(7)	6.7	9.74
NGC 3384	10:45:38.7	12:53:41	735	SB01(5)	8.1	10.75
NGC 3412	10:48:14.6	13:40:41	865	SB01/2(5)	8.1	11.34
NGC 3489	10:57:40.0	14:10:15	708	S03/Sa	6.4	11.15
NGC 3607	11:14:16.1	18:19:35	935	S03(3)	19.9	10.79
NGC 3870	11:43:16.9	50:28:43	750	[S0]	17	13.47
NGC 3941	11:50:19.4	37:15:55	928	SB01/2/a	18.9	11.25
NGC 3990	11:55:01.0	55:44:15	696	S06/Sa	17	13.46
NGC 4026	11:56:51.1	51:14:25	930	S01/2(9)	17	11.59
NGC 4111	12:04:30.6	43:20:43	807	S01(9)	17	11.6
NGC 4143	12:07:05.0	42:48:52	784	S01(5)/Sa	17	11.9

Table 1—Continued

Name	RA	DEC	v_{hel}	Type	D	B_T^o
	1950		km s ^{−1}		Mpc	
NGC 4150	12:08:01.3	30:40:47	244	S03(4)/Sa	9.7	12.4
NGC 4203	12:12:34.1	33:28:33	1086	S02(1)	9.7	11.61
NGC 4251	12:15:36.8	28:27:11	1014	S01(8)	9.7	11.43
NGC 4310	12:19:56.1	29:29:10	913	[SAB0?]	9.7	13.08
NGC 4346	12:21:01.2	47:16:16	783	SB01(8)	17	12.17
NGC 4460	12:26:20.2	45:08:21	528	S0/Sc	8.1	12.25
NGC 4880	12:57:41.0	12:45:10	1491	[E4/S01(4)	15.7	12.35
NGC 5866	15:05:07.8	55:57:16	745	S03(8)	15.3	10.83
NGC 7013	21:01:26.1	29:41:51	779	[S0/a]	14.2	10.7
NGC 7077	21:27:27.5	02:11:39	1146	[S0 pec ?]	13.3	13.94
NGC 7332	22:35:01.2	23:32:16	1300	S02/3(8)	18.2	11.93
NGC 7457	22:58:36.2	29:52:31	824	S01(5)	12.3	11.76

Note. — Columns contain galaxy name, coordinates at epoch 1950, heliocentric radial velocity, morphological type from the Carnegie Atlas or Third Reference Catalog of Bright Galaxies (square brackets), distance in Mpc from the Tully Catalog, and total corrected blue apparent magnitude from the Third Reference Catalog of Bright Galaxies.

Table 2. Integrated Intensities from the IRAM 30m Telescope

Name			1-0		2-1				1-0		2-1	
	offset	window	$I_{CO} \pm \sigma_I$	rms	$I_{CO} \pm \sigma_I$	rms	window	$I_{CO} \pm \sigma_I$	rms	$I_{CO} \pm \sigma_I$	rms	
	" , "	km s ⁻¹	K km s ⁻¹	mK	K km s ⁻¹	mK	km s ⁻¹	K km s ⁻¹	mK	K km s ⁻¹	mK	
NGC 2787	0, 0	493-906	1.02 0.35	3.92	1.93 0.46	3.78	...	...	...	...	...	
NGC 3115	-14, -17	360-450 ^a	0.216 0.190	5.36	0.953 0.251	6.81	360-960	-0.423 0.725	6.02	...	...	
	0, 0	500-840	...	...	1.95 0.54	5.58	360-960	0.232 0.584	4.85	...	...	
	14, 17	870-960	0.023 0.191	5.37	0.320 0.233	6.32	360-960	-1.42 0.67	5.55	...	...	
NGC 3384	0, 0	535-935	-0.796 0.260	3.00	2.49 0.56	4.75	...	...	...	...	...	
NGC 3412	0, 0	727-986	0.788 0.204	3.18	0.990 0.307	4.20	...	...	...	...	...	
NGC 3489	0, 0	521-879	3.49 0.39	4.21	4.86 0.41	3.97	...	...	...	...	...	
NGC 3607	-9.5, 5.5	631-1238	2.59 0.37	3.16	...	...	839-1221	...	...	2.44 0.46	4.17	
	0, 0	670-1227	8.74 0.97	4.16	9.85 0.56	2.35	...	...	...	...	...	
	9.5, -5.5	634-1225	10.46 0.36	3.05	...	...	645-1219	...	...	21.62 0.49	1.84	
	19.1, -11.0	632-1161	5.35 0.45	4.14	...	...	670-845	...	...	2.55 0.31	5.08	
NGC 3870	-4.7, -10	714-900	1.13 0.25	3.61 ^b	...	...	736-880	...	...	1.55 0.36	8.13 ^c	
	0, 0	700-850	1.60 0.15	2.38 ^b	...	...	700-800	...	...	2.46 0.24	6.90 ^c	
	4.7, 10	701-817	0.894 0.120	2.33 ^b	...	...	708-797	...	...	0.780 0.240	7.17 ^c	
NGC 3941	0, 0	728-1128	0.729 0.295	2.41 ^d	0.267 0.716	4.30 ^d	...	...	...	...	...	
NGC 3990	0, 0	625-865	0.328 0.177	1.95 ^d	0.863 0.181	1.81 ^d	...	...	...	...	...	

Table 2—Continued

Name			1-0		2-1				1-0		2-1	
	offset	window	$I_{CO} \pm \sigma_I$	rms	$I_{CO} \pm \sigma_I$	rms	window	$I_{CO} \pm \sigma_I$	rms	$I_{CO} \pm \sigma_I$	rms	
	" , "	km s ⁻¹	K km s ⁻¹	mK	K km s ⁻¹	mK	km s ⁻¹	K km s ⁻¹	mK	K km s ⁻¹	mK	
NGC 4026	0, 0	780-1080	0.183 0.206	2.02 ^d	0.072 0.588	4.85 ^d	...	...	...	...	...	
NGC 4111	0, 0	607-1007	1.29 0.29	2.38 ^d	3.80 0.35	2.09 ^d	...	...	...	...	...	
NGC 4143	0, 0	584-984	0.003 0.359	3.26 ^b	...	...	700-984	...	...	1.17 0.91	6.07 ^b	
NGC 4150	-7.5, 8	25-320	3.04 0.26	3.54	1.28 0.70	8.03	...	...	...	...	...	
	0, 0	22-362	6.61 0.26	3.41	4.65 0.36	3.66	...	...	...	...	...	
	7.7 -8	22-362	6.74 0.39	4.97	8.96 0.48	4.90	...	...	...	...	...	
	15, -16	180-364	2.50 0.31	5.66	...	...	262-336	...	...	0.798 0.280	8.57	
NGC 4203	0, 0	868-1300	1.88 0.21	1.59 ^d	...	...	891-1261	...	...	4.52 0.35	3.24	
NGC 4251	0, 0	914-1114	0.094 0.195	3.51	-0.346 0.417	6.79	...	...	...	...	...	
NGC 4310	-26, 20	881-1024	0.408 0.270	4.34	0.744 0.290	5.94	...	...	...	...	...	
	-17, 14	881-1024	3.12 0.22	4.78	2.48 0.37	7.63	...	...	...	...	...	
	-9, 7	853-1005	5.70 0.23	4.88	6.86 0.39	7.62	...	...	...	...	...	
	0, 0	813-996	6.69 0.31	5.86	7.17 0.37	6.35	...	...	...	...	...	
	9, -7	799-993	3.60 0.24	4.45	2.91 0.36	6.05	...	...	...	...	...	
	17, -14	813-929	0.847 0.184	4.53	0.396 0.290	6.71	...	...	...	...	...	
NGC 4346	0, 0	583-983	0.407 0.413	3.77 ^b	-0.196 0.726	6.90 ^c	...	...	...	...	...	

Table 2—Continued

Name			1-0		2-1				1-0		2-1	
	offset	window	$I_{CO} \pm \sigma_I$	rms	$I_{CO} \pm \sigma_I$	rms	window	$I_{CO} \pm \sigma_I$	rms	$I_{CO} \pm \sigma_I$	rms	
	" , "	km s ⁻¹	K km s ⁻¹	mK	K km s ⁻¹	mK	km s ⁻¹	K km s ⁻¹	mK	K km s ⁻¹	mK	
NGC 4460	-11, -19	390-500	1.10 0.25	5.04 ^b	0.234 0.274	5.22 ^b	...	...	...	...	...	
	-6, -10	410-544	0.988 0.218	3.85 ^b	0.622 0.354	5.97 ^b	...	...	...	...	...	
	0, 0	402-564	2.12 0.20	3.16 ^b	0.676 0.315	4.63 ^b	...	...	...	...	...	
	6, 10	454-584	2.24 0.25	4.39 ^b	-0.339 0.305	5.23 ^b	...	...	...	...	...	
	11, 19	514-565	0.560 0.118	3.54 ^b	0.588 0.193	5.67 ^b	...	...	...	...	...	
NGC 4880	0, 0	1342-1413	0.823 0.110	3.52	0.999 0.110	4.72	...	...	...	...	...	
NGC 5866	-35, 27	479-606	0.441 0.170	4.02	0.810 0.360	7.94	...	...	...	...	...	
	-26, 20	479-606	1.68 0.24	5.64	1.04 0.28	6.27	...	...	...	...	...	
	-17, 14	466-641	4.57 0.19	4.01	4.03 0.33	6.18	...	...	...	...	...	
	-9, 7	458-752	10.97 0.28	3.95	12.53 0.65	7.59	...	...	...	...	...	
	0, 0	432-1051	21.61 0.52	4.23	...	...	455-1014	...	...	18.79 0.69	2.89	
	9, -7	410-1087	28.06 0.37	2.98	...	...	472-1040	...	...	32.79 0.83	3.23	
	17, -14	708-1047	13.83 0.35	4.31	...	...	797-1022	...	...	13.69 0.50	7.43	
	26, -20	816-1048	5.01 0.29	4.46	...	...	834-1027	...	...	6.94 0.36	5.99	
	35, -27	866-1100	1.68 0.35	5.38	...	...	854-1014	...	...	0.449 0.390	7.44	
	-9, -7 ^e	465-1042	6.27 0.82	6.63	...	...	521-1036	...	...	2.94 0.93	5.00	

Table 2—Continued

Name	1-0				2-1		1-0			2-1	
	offset	window	$I_{CO} \pm \sigma_I$	rms	$I_{CO} \pm \sigma_I$	rms	window	$I_{CO} \pm \sigma_I$	rms	$I_{CO} \pm \sigma_I$	rms
	" , "	km s ⁻¹	K km s ⁻¹	mK	K km s ⁻¹	mK	km s ⁻¹	K km s ⁻¹	mK	K km s ⁻¹	mK
	9, 7	455-1061	15.55 0.82	6.59	...	...	479-1037	...	...	25.15 1.21	5.03

Note. — Columns contain the galaxy name, offset in arcseconds (RA,DEC) from coordinates in Table 1, location of line window, integrated intensity in the line window and its formal standard deviation, and rms channel noise in the smoothed spectrum used for the measurements.

^aFirst window at each offset brackets optical rotation curve at that position (Refer to notes for Table 3). Second window spans entire rotation curve.

^b20.8 km s⁻¹ channel width.

^c10.4 km s⁻¹ channel width.

^d26.0 km s⁻¹ channel width.

^eThis and following pointing is along the minor axis.

Table 3. Integrated Intensities from the NRAO 12m Telescope

Name	1-0				
	offset	window	I_{CO}	σ_I	rms
	" , "	km s ⁻¹	K km s ⁻¹	K km s ⁻¹	mK
NGC 936	-39, 39	1490-1580 ^a	-0.021	0.134	2.98
	0, 0	1190-1490	0.058	0.408	4.52
	39, -39	1100-1190	-0.037	0.181	4.02
NGC 1023	-220, -12 ^b	430-760	0.087	0.486	5.07
	-220, -12	400-1000	0.792	0.721	4.74
	-165, -9	430-760	0.217	0.224	2.33
	-165, -9	400-1000	... ^c	0.357	2.33
	-110, -6	430-800	-0.366	0.395	3.80
	-110, -6	400-1000	-0.195	0.519	3.38
	-55, -3	530-800	0.283	0.292	3.46
	-55, -3	400-1000	-0.141	0.476	3.11
	0, 0	530-800	-0.014	0.149	1.77
	0, 0	400-1000	0.449	0.235	1.54
	55, 3	530-800	0.056	0.251	2.97
	55, 3	400-1000	1.24	0.45	2.91
	110, 6	530-850	1.02	0.53	5.62
	110, 6	400-1000	1.10	0.80	5.19

Table 3—Continued

Name	1-0				
	offset	window	I_{CO}	σ_I	rms
	" , "	km s ⁻¹	K km s ⁻¹	K km s ⁻¹	mK
	165, 9	600-850	0.080	0.221	2.75
	165, 9	400-1000	-0.911	0.381	2.49
	220, 12	600-850	-0.338	0.152	1.90
	220, 12	400-1000	-0.293	0.275	1.79
NGC 2787	0, 0	580-690	0.355	0.072	1.37
NGC 3115	-71, -84	360-450 ^a	-0.312	0.238	5.31
	-35, -42	360-450	0.029	0.129	2.87
	0, 0	360-960	-0.335	0.582	3.80
	35, 42	870-960	-0.021	0.162	3.60
	71, 84	870-960	-0.111	0.188	4.19
NGC 3384	0, 0	535-935	-0.136	0.406	3.66
	44, 33	535-935	-0.547	0.312	2.94
NGC 3412	0, 0	727-986	-0.420	0.250	3.03
NGC 3607	0, 0	634-1221	8.72	0.96	6.42
NGC 3870	0, 0	635-937	1.50	0.36	4.11
NGC 3941	0, 0	728-1128	0.620	0.197	1.79
NGC 4026	-2, 55	630-920 ^a	0.532	0.241	2.73

Table 3—Continued

Name	1-0				
	offset	window	I_{CO}	σ_I	rms
	" , "	km s ⁻¹	K km s ⁻¹	K km s ⁻¹	mK
	0, 0	630-1180	0.043	0.325	2.29
	2, -55	900-1180	1.03	0.21	2.47
NGC 4111	0, 0	607-1007	-0.793	0.510	4.64
NGC 4251	0, 0	864-1164	-0.336	0.227	2.52
NGC 4310	-43, 34	881-1024	0.462	0.310	5.28
	0, 0	813-996	1.56	0.71	1.07
	43, -34	813-929	-0.088	0.230	4.48
NGC 4346	0, 0	583-983	-0.475 ^d	0.324	2.94
NGC 4460	0, 0	392-586	0.676	0.200	3.22
	35, 42	434-606	0.948	0.340	5.16
NGC 5866	-86, 68	479-606	-0.190	0.110	4.25
	-43, 34	479-606	-0.250	0.130	4.85
	0, 0	455-1014	5.14	0.49	3.14
	43, -34	931-1062	0.767	0.100	3.68
	86, -68	931-1062	-0.462	0.110	3.95
NGC 7332	-23, 50	1100-1500	-1.17	0.31	2.81
	0, 0	1100-1500	0.186	0.089	0.81

Table 3—Continued

Name	1-0				
	offset	window	I_{CO}	σ_I	rms
	" , "	km s ⁻¹	K km s ⁻¹	K km s ⁻¹	mK
	0, 0	1370-1520 ^e	0.119	0.045	0.75
	23, -50	1100-1500	0.351	0.272	2.48
NGC 7457	-42, 35	874-974 ^a	0.086	0.241	5.07
	0, 0	695-945	0.234	0.073	0.913
	42, -35	674-774	0.348	0.184	3.89

Note. — See Table 2 for description of tabulated quantities

^aLine windows for off-centre pointings centred on optical rotation curve from Kent (1987, NGC 936), Capaccioli et al. (1993, NGC 3115), Fisher (1997, NGC 4026), and Dressler & Sandage (1983, NGC 7457).

^bNGC 1023 is possibly merging with a smaller, gas-rich companion, as the HI distribution is extensive and complex (Sancisi et al. 1984). The narrow window at each pointing is based on an estimate of the local HI velocity from Figures 1 and 3 in Sancisi et al., whereas the wide window spans the global HI line profile in Figure 2 of the same work.

^cInsufficient baseline on high-velocity side of line window.

^dSecond-order baseline removed.

^eNarrow window isolates possible emission.

Table 4. Total H₂ Masses and Upper Limits from Our Observations

Name	IRAM 30m		NRAO 12m
	J=(1-0) ^a	J=(2-1)	J=(1-0)
NGC 936	...	...	$\pm 1.55 \times 10^7$
NGC 1023	...	...	$\pm 4.91 \times 10^7$
NGC 2787	...	$(6.92 \pm 1.67) \times 10^6$	$(1.78 \pm 0.36) \times 10^{7b}$
NGC 3115	...	$(1.86 \pm 0.52) \times 10^6$	...
NGC 3384	...	$(3.48 \pm 0.78) \times 10^6$	...
NGC 3412	$(2.22 \pm 0.57) \times 10^6$	$(1.38 \pm 0.43) \times 10^6$	...
NGC 3489	$(6.13 \pm 0.68) \times 10^6$	$(4.22 \pm 0.36) \times 10^6$	...
NGC 3607	$(2.32 \pm 0.10) \times 10^8$	$(3.07 \pm 0.08) \times 10^8$	$(1.03 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{9b}$
NGC 3870	$(1.99 \pm 0.27) \times 10^7$	$(2.94 \pm 0.30) \times 10^7$	$(1.28 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{8b}$
NGC 3941	...	...	$(6.58 \pm 0.21) \times 10^7$
NGC 3990	...	$(5.30 \pm 1.11) \times 10^6$	...
NGC 4026	...	...	$(8.80 \pm 1.84) \times 10^7$
NGC 4111	$(1.59 \pm 0.36) \times 10^7$	$(2.33 \pm 0.22) \times 10^7$	...
NGC 4143	$\pm 4.45 \times 10^6$	$\pm 5.55 \times 10^6$	...
NGC 4150	$(3.81 \pm 0.12) \times 10^7$	$(2.92 \pm 0.18) \times 10^7$	...

Table 4—Continued

Name	IRAM 30m		NRAO 12m
	J=(1-0) ^a	J=(2-1)	J=(1-0)
NGC 4203	$(7.61 \pm 0.85) \times 10^6$	$(9.04 \pm 0.70) \times 10^6$	...
NGC 4251	$\pm 7.87 \times 10^5$	$\pm 8.35 \times 10^5$	$\pm 6.35 \times 10^{6b}$
NGC 4310	$(4.30 \pm 0.17) \times 10^7$	$(3.88 \pm 0.16) \times 10^7$	...
NGC 4346	$\pm 5.12 \times 10^6$	$\pm 4.46 \times 10^6$	$\pm 2.78 \times 10^{7b}$
NGC 4460	$(1.06 \pm 0.10) \times 10^7$	...	$(1.32 \pm 0.39) \times 10^{7b}$
NGC 4880	$(8.70 \pm 1.12) \times 10^6$	$(5.23 \pm 0.58) \times 10^6$	...
NGC 5866	$(4.39 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{8b}$	$(5.86 \pm 0.10) \times 10^8$	$(4.11 \pm 0.35) \times 10^8$
NGC 7332	...	...	$\pm 4.16 \times 10^7$
NGC 7457	...	...	$(3.30 \pm 1.03) \times 10^6$

^aColumns give $M(H_2)$ and its formal standard deviation, or the one-sigma upper limit, all in solar masses. Where multiple pointings are available, the total is obtained from successive pointings (IRAM 30m, J=2-1; NRAO 12m), or from alternate pointings (IRAM 30m, J=1-0). Standard deviations are added in quadrature.

^bUsed in Table 6.

Table 5. Comparison with Published Measurements

Name	Other Studies		Present Study	
	$M(\text{H}_2)$	FWHM	$M(\text{H}_2)$	FWHM ^a
	$M_\odot$	"	$M_\odot$	"
NGC 1023	$<1.69 \times 10^6$ ^b	15	$<7.71 \times 10^6$ ^{c,d}	55
NGC 3115	$<4.35 \times 10^6$ ^b	15	1.864×10^6 ^f	10
	...	...	$<3.37 \times 10^6$ ^g	21
	...	...	$<2.33 \times 10^7$	55
NGC 4251	$<1.1 \times 10^7$ ^h	21	$<2.36 \times 10^6$	21
	...	...	$<1.94 \times 10^7$	55
NGC 4310	6.30×10^7 ^j	21	2.702×10^7	21
	...	...	$<5.96 \times 10^7$	55
NGC 5866	8.34×10^7 ^b	15	2.17×10^8	21
	1.02×10^8 ^m	21	2.17×10^8	21
	5.09×10^8 ⁿ	45	3.58×10^8	55
	4.37×10^8 ^o	3×45	4.38×10^8	5×21
NGC 7457	2.64×10^7 ^b	15	3.30×10^6	55

Note. — Values from center pointings are compared unless otherwise indicated.

^a"10" indicates 30m J=2-1, "21" indicates 30m J=1-0, "55" indicates 12m J=1-0.

^bTaniguchi et al. (1994), Nobeyama 45m telescope.

^cFrom wide line window at (0,0).

^dUpper limits from the present study are 3-sigma.

^fUsing J=2-1 transition at (0,0).

^gFrom J=1-0 transition at (0,0).

^hGerin & Casoli (1994), IRAM 30m telescope

^jGerin & Casoli (1994). Possibly the sum of as many as 6 pointings.

^kFrom the sum of (-17,14), (0,0), and (17,-14)

^mWH, IRAM 30m telescope.

ⁿThronson et al. (1989), FCRAO 13.7m telescope.

^oYoung et al. (1995), FCRAO 13.7m telescope.

Table 6. Observed and Predicted Cool ISM Masses

Name	M_r ($M_\odot$)	$M(H_2)$ ($M_\odot$)	M_{obs} ($M_\odot$)	HI ref
NGC 404	4.48×10^8	...	1.01×10^8 ^a	1
NGC 936	8.28×10^9	$< 1.55 \times 10^7$	$< 7.33 \times 10^{8b}$	1
NGC 1023	1.86×10^{10}	$< 4.91 \times 10^7$ ^c	$< 2.49 \times 10^9$	2
NGC 2787	6.97×10^9	1.78×10^7	1.10×10^9	3
NGC 3115	1.04×10^{10}	1.86×10^{6e}	$< 1.86 \times 10^7$	4
NGC 3384	5.97×10^9	3.48×10^{6e}	$< 2.22 \times 10^7$	5
NGC 3412	3.47×10^9	2.22×10^6	$< 1.82 \times 10^7$	5
NGC 3489	2.58×10^9	6.13×10^6	1.67×10^7	5
NGC 3607	3.47×10^{10}	1.03×10^9	$< 1.50 \times 10^9$	6
NGC 3870	2.15×10^9	1.28×10^8	$< 1.86 \times 10^9$	7
NGC 3941	2.05×10^{10}	6.58×10^7	1.94×10^9	8
NGC 3990	2.17×10^9	5.30×10^{6e}	... ^f	...
NGC 4026	1.21×10^{10}	8.80×10^{7g}	$< 2.15 \times 10^8$	9
NGC 4111	1.20×10^{10}	1.59×10^7	1.13×10^9	10
NGC 4143	9.12×10^9	$< 4.45 \times 10^6$	$< 1.00 \times 10^9$	8
NGC 4150	1.87×10^9	3.81×10^7	$< 6.27 \times 10^7$	11
NGC 4203	3.88×10^9	7.61×10^6	7.43×10^8	12
NGC 4251	4.58×10^9	$< 6.35 \times 10^6$	$< 3.89 \times 10^7$	13
NGC 4310	1.00×10^9	4.30×10^7	9.59×10^7	14
NGC 4346	7.11×10^9	$< 2.78 \times 10^7$	$< 5.17 \times 10^8$	15

Table 6—Continued

Name	M_r ($M_\odot$)	$M(H_2)$ ($M_\odot$)	M_{obs} ($M_\odot$)	HI ref
NGC 4460	1.50×10^9	1.32×10^7	... ^f	...
NGC 4880	5.14×10^9	8.70×10^6	$< 2.69 \times 10^7$	9
NGC 5866	1.98×10^{10}	4.39×10^8	$< 1.32 \times 10^9$	16
NGC 7013	1.92×10^{10}	...	1.57×10^{9h}	17
NGC 7077	8.53×10^8	...	1.22×10^{8i}	18
NGC 7332	1.02×10^{10}	$< 4.16 \times 10^7$	$< 1.98 \times 10^8$	19
NGC 7457	5.43×10^9	3.30×10^6	$< 9.28 \times 10^7$	14

Note. — Columns contain galaxy name, total mass returned by evolving stars over last 10 Gyr, estimated as described in the text, H_2 mass or $1-\sigma$ limit from present observations, observed cool ISM mass including He, reference for HI observations.

^aCO observations from Sage & Wrobel (1989).

^bUpper limits to M_{obs} are the sum of $M(H_2)$, or its $3-\sigma$ limit, and the $3-\sigma$ limit on HI mass from the indicated reference. H_2 has been detected in every galaxy known to contain HI, except for NGC 1023.

^cFrom wide line windows at all offsets

^dFrom CO measurement plus 3-sigma HI limit

^eFrom J=2-1 transition

^fNo HI observation.

^gDetection at offset (2,-55).

^hCO observations from Young et al. (1995).

ⁱCO observations from Sage et al. (1992).

References. — (1) (Wardle & Knapp 1986); (2) Sancisi et al. (1984); (3) Shostak (1987); (4) Richter & Huchtmeier (1987); (5) Giovanardi et al. (1983); (6) Biermann et al. (1979); (7) Bottinelli et al. (1973); (8) Huchtmeier (1982); (9) Roberts et al. (1991); (10) van der Burg (1985); (11) Knapp et al. (1979); (12) van Driel et al. (1988); (13) Bieging & Biermann (1977); (14) Chamaraux et al. (1987); (15) Appleton & Davies (1982); (16) Knapp & Gunn (1982); (17) Knapp et al. (1984); (18) Lewis (1987); (19) Burstein et al. (1987).



















